

Motivation

Stronger Teacher: Current KD methods mainly focus on baseline training settings, while today's state-of-the-art approaches are using much stronger models and training strategies.

- Stronger models: larger capacity, advanced architectures *e.t.c*.
- Stronger strategies: auto augmentation, MixUp, AdamW optimizer, e.t.c.

Frustrating Performance of KD from a **Stronger Teacher:** We train the student with stronger teachers in vanilla KD (KL div.).

- Larger teachers: the ACCs of KD with R152 and R101 are lower than R34.
- Stronger strategies: the ACCs of KD with stronger strategies are even lower than standalone training.



What makes stronger teachers ab-normal compared to baselines?

Knowledge Distillation from A Stronger Teacher

Tao Huang^{1,2} Shan You¹ Fei Wang³ Chen Qian¹ Chang Xu² ¹SenseTime Research ²School of Computer Science, Faculty of Engineering, The University of Sydney ³University of Science and Technology of China Correspondence to: youshan@sensetime.com

Catastrophic Discrepancy with A Stronger Teacher

By measuring the outputs of trained baseline and stronger models, we find that • It tends to be fairly challenging for the student to exactly match the teacher's outputs as

- their discrepancy becomes larger.
- would be larger.

The exact match in KL divergence seems way too overambitious and demanding when the discrepancy becomes large.

Intuition: Relax the match with relations.

Relaxed Match with DIST



DIST replaces KL divergence with Pearson distance

 $d_{\rm p} = 1 - \rho_{\rm p}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$

• When the teacher and student are trained with stronger strategies, their discrepancy

$$:= \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})}{\operatorname{Std}(\boldsymbol{u})\operatorname{Std}(\boldsymbol{v})}.$$







Experiments

Baseline settings on ImageNet:

Stu. (Tea.)	Tea.	Stu.	KD	CRD	Review	DIST
Res18 (Res34)	73.31	69.76	70.66	71.17	71.61	72.07
MBV1 (Res50)	76.16	70.13	70.68	71.37	72.56	73.24

Stronger teachers:

return kd_loss

Tea.	Stu.	tea.	stu.	KD	RKD	SRRL	DIST
Res50SB	Res18		73.4	72.6	72.9	71.2	74.5
	Res34	QN 1	76.8	77.2	76.6	76.7	77.8
	MBV2	00.1	73.6	71.7	73.1	69.2	74.4
	Eff.B0		78.0	77.4	77.5	77.3	78.6
Swin-L [‡]	ResNet-50	86.3	78.5	80.0	78.9	78.6	80.2
	Swin-T	00.5	81.3	81.5	81.2	81.5	82.3

Comparisons of training speed (batches / second):

KD	RKD	SRRL	CRD	DIST
14.28	11.11	12.98	8.33	14.19

Pytorch implementation of DIST:

```
import torch.nn as nn
def cosine_similarity(a, b, eps=1e-8):
    return (a * b).sum(1) / (a.norm(dim=1) * b.norm(dim=1) + eps)
def pearson_correlation(a, b, eps=1e-8):
    return cosine_similarity(a - a.mean(1).unsqueeze(1), b - b.mean(1).unsqueeze(1), eps)
def inter_class_relation(y_s, y_t):
    return 1 - pearson_correlation(y_s, y_t).mean()
def intra_class_relation(y_s, y_t):
    return inter_class_relation(y_s.transpose(0, 1), y_t.transpose(0, 1))
class DIST(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, beta, gamma)
        super(DIST, self).__init__()
        self.beta = beta
        self.gamma = gamma
    def forward(self, z_s, z_t):
        y_s = z_s.softmax(dim=1)
        y_t = z_t.softmax(dim=1)
        inter_loss = inter_class_relation(y_s, y_t)
        intra_loss = intra_class_relation(y_s, y_t)
        kd_loss = self.beta * inter_loss + self.gamma * intra_loss
```